

SADDLEPOINT NECESSARY CRITERIA FOR NONLINEAR PROGRAMMING IN COMPLEX SPACE

DOREL I. DUCA

(Cluj-Napoca)

1. Introduction. Consider the problem

(P) Minimize $\operatorname{Re} f(z)$ subject to $z \in M$, $g(z) \in S$,

where M is a nonempty set in C^n , S is a nonempty set in C^m and $f : M \rightarrow C$ and $g : M \rightarrow C^m$ are functions.

Abrams [1] and Duca [6] gave saddlepoint optimality criteria for a nonlinear programming problem in a complex space. In the proofs of the necessary optimality conditions, it is essential that problem (P) be convex. In his proof, Abrams requested in addition that the functions f and g be analytic.

In this paper, we shall show that the convexity hypothesis can be weakened.

2. Notation and Preliminaries. Let C^n (R^n) denote the n -dimensional complex (real) vector space with Hermitian (Euclidean) norm $\|\cdot\|$, $R_+^n = \{x \in R^n : x = (x_j) \text{ with } x_j \geq 0 \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ the non-negative orthant of R^n , and $C^{m \times n}$ the set of $m \times n$ complex matrices. If A is a matrix or a vector, then A^T , \bar{A} , A^H denote its transpose, complex conjugate and conjugate transpose, respectively. For $z = (z_j)$, $w \in C^n$: $\langle z, w \rangle = w^H z$ denotes the inner product of z and w and $\operatorname{Re} z = (\operatorname{Re} z_j) \in R^n$ denotes the real part of z .

A set S in C^m is said to be a polyhedral cone if it is a finite intersection of closed half-spaces in C^m , each containing 0 in its boundary, i.e. there exists a natural number q and q points u^1, \dots, u^q in C^m such that

$$S = \cap \{H(u^k) : k \in \{1, \dots, q\}\},$$

where $H(u^k) = \{v \in C^m : \operatorname{Re} \langle v, u^k \rangle \geq 0\}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$.

If $S = \cap \{H(u^k) : k \in \{1, \dots, q\}\}$ is a polyhedral cone in C^m and $v \in C^m$, then we denote

$$S(v) = \cap \{H(u^k) : \operatorname{Re} \langle v, u^k \rangle = 0\}.$$

The polar cone of a nonempty set $S \subseteq C^m$, denoted by S^* , is the set of all u in C^m such that $\operatorname{Re} \langle z, u \rangle \geq 0$ for each $z \in S$, i.e.

$$S^* = \{u \in C^m : z \in S \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \langle z, u \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

Let M be an open set in C^n . The function $f: M \rightarrow C^m$ is differentiable at $z^0 \in M$ if

$$f(z) - f(z^0) = [\nabla_z f(z^0)]^T(z - z^0) + [\nabla_{\bar{z}} f(z^0)]^T(\bar{z} - \bar{z}^0) + o(\|z - z^0\|),$$

for all $z \in M$, where $\nabla_z f(z^0)$ and $\nabla_{\bar{z}} f(z^0)$ denote, respectively, the $n \times m$ matrices whose k, j th elements are the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f_k(z^0)}{\partial z_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial f_k(z^0)}{\partial \bar{z}_j}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m; \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and $o(\|z - z^0\|) / \|z - z^0\| \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z^0$.

DEFINITION 1. Let M be a nonempty set in C^n and let S be a nonempty set in C^m . The function $f: M \rightarrow C^m$ is said to be:

i) convex at $z \in M$ with respect to S if

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} v \in M, v \neq z \\ t \in]0, 1[\\ (1-t)z + tv \in M. \end{array} \right\} \implies (1-t)f(z) + tf(v) - f[(1-t)z + tv] \in S;$$

ii) concave at $z \in M$ with respect to S if f is convex at $z \in M$ with respect to $-S = \{u \in C^m : -u \in S\}$;

iii) convex (concave) on M with respect to S if M is convex and f is convex (concave) at any $z \in M$ with respect to S .

When referring to the objective function of a programming problem in a complex space, the convexity of the real part is of interest.

DEFINITION 2. Let M be a nonempty set in C^n and let T be a nonempty set in R^m . The function $f: M \rightarrow C^m$ is said to have convex real part at $z \in M$ (on M) with respect to T if f is convex at z (on M) with respect to $CT = \{u \in C^m : \text{Re } u \in T\}$.

The following result may be found in Duca's [7]:

THEOREM 1. Let M be a nonempty open set in C^n , let $z \in M$, let S be a closed convex cone in C^m and let $f: M \rightarrow C^m$ be a differentiable function at z .

If f is convex at z with respect to S , then

$$f(v) - f(z) - [\nabla_z f(z)]^T(v - z) - [\nabla_{\bar{z}} f(z)]^T(\bar{v} - \bar{z}) \in S, \quad \text{for all } z \in M.$$

We shall also need the following result:

THEOREM 2. Let M be a nonempty open set in C^n , let $z^0 \in M$, let S be a polyhedral cone in C^m with nonempty interior and let $f: M \rightarrow C$ and $g: M \rightarrow C^m$ be differentiable functions at z^0 . If z^0 is a local optimal solution of problem (P), then there exist $r \in R$ and $u^0 \in C^m$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & r \in R_+, \quad u^0 \in (S(g(z^0)))^* \subseteq S^*, \quad (r, u^0) \neq (0, 0), \\ (2) \quad & \text{Re} \langle g(z^0), u^0 \rangle = 0, \\ (3) \quad & r \overline{\nabla_z f(z^0)} + r \nabla_{\bar{z}} f(z^0) - \overline{\nabla_z g(z^0)} u^0 - \nabla_{\bar{z}} g(z^0) \bar{u}^0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If, in addition, one of the following conditions holds,

1° g satisfies the Arrow-Hurwicz-Uzawa complex constraint qualification (CCQ) at z^0 with respect to $X = \{z \in M : g(z) \in M\}$;

2° g satisfies the Kuhn-Tucker CCQ at z^0 with respect to X ;

3° g satisfies the reverse-concave CCQ at z^0 with respect to X ;

4° g satisfies the weak CCQ at z^0 with respect to X ;

5° g satisfies Slater's CCQ with respect to X , and g is concave at z^0 with respect to $S(g(z^0))$;

6° g satisfies the strict CCQ with respect to X and g is concave at z^0 with respect to $S(g(z^0))$;

7° M is convex, g is concave on M with respect to S and g satisfies Karlin's CCQ with respect to X ,

then $r > 0$.

The proof is given in ref. [5, Theorems 2 and 4].

3. Results. For $r \in R$, let $L_r: M \times C^m \rightarrow C$ denote the function defined by the formula:

$$L_r(z, u) = rf(z) - \langle g(z), u \rangle \quad \text{for all } (z, u) \in M \times C^m.$$

THEOREM 3. Let M be a nonempty open set in C^n , let $z^0 \in M$ and let S be a polyhedral cone in C^m with nonempty interior. Let $f: M \rightarrow C$ be a differentiable function at z^0 having convex real part at z^0 with respect to R_+ and let $g: M \rightarrow C^m$ be a differentiable function at z^0 concave at z^0 with respect to S . If z^0 is a local optimal solution of problem (P), then there exist $r \in R$ and $u^0 \in C^m$ such that

$$(4) \quad r \in R_+, \quad u^0 \in (S(g(z^0)))^* \subseteq S^*, \quad (r, u^0) \neq (0, 0),$$

$$(5) \quad \text{Re} \langle g(z^0), u^0 \rangle = 0,$$

$$(6) \quad \text{Re } L_r(z^0, u) \leq \text{Re } L_r(z^0, u^0) \leq \text{Re } L_r(z, u^0),$$

for all $z \in M$ and $u \in S^*$.

If, in addition, one of the following conditions hold,

1° g satisfies the Arrow-Hurwicz-Uzawa complex constraint qualification (CCQ) at z^0 with respect to $X = \{z \in M : g(z) \in S\}$;

2° g satisfies the Kuhn-Tucker CCQ at z^0 with respect to X ;

3° g satisfies the reverse-concave CCQ at z^0 with respect to X ;

4° g satisfies the weak CCQ at z^0 with respect to X ;

5° g satisfies Slater's CCQ with respect to X ;

6° g satisfies the strict CCQ with respect to X ;

7° M is convex, g is concave on M with respect to S and g satisfies Karlin's CCQ with respect to X ,

then $r > 0$.

Proof. In view of theorem 2, there exist $r \in R$ and $u^0 \in C^m$ so that (1) - (3) hold true. Since (4) is (1) and (5) is (2), inequalities (6) need to be demonstrated.

Since $g(z^0) \in S$, from (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} L_r(z^0, u) &= \operatorname{Re} [rf(z^0) - \langle g(z^0), u \rangle] \leq \operatorname{Re} rf(z^0) = \\ &= \operatorname{Re} [rf(z^0) - \langle g(z^0), u^0 \rangle] = \operatorname{Re} L_r(z^0, u^0), \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in S^*$. Hence, the first inequality of (6) holds.

On the other hand, the function f has convex real part at z^0 with respect to R_+ and it is differentiable at z^0 ; then by theorem 1, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} [f(z) - f(z^0)] \geq \operatorname{Re} \{ [\nabla_z f(z^0)]^T (z - z^0) + [\nabla_{\bar{z}} f(z^0)]^T (\bar{z} - \bar{z}^0) \}$$

for all $z \in M$.

Since $r \in R_+$, from the latter inequality and the properties of the inner product, we deduce that

$$(7) \quad \operatorname{Re} r[f(z) - f(z^0)] \geq \operatorname{Re} \langle r \overline{\nabla_z f(z^0)} + r \nabla_{\bar{z}} f(z^0), z - z^0 \rangle,$$

for all $z \in M$.

The function g is differentiable at z^0 and concave at z^0 with respect to S ; then, by theorem 1, we have

$$(8) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle g(z) - g(z^0), u^0 \rangle \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla_z g(z^0) u^0 + \nabla_{\bar{z}} g(z^0) \bar{u}^0, z - z^0 \rangle,$$

for all $z \in M$, because $u^0 \in S^*$.

Now, from (7), (8) and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} L_r(z, u^0) - \operatorname{Re} L_r(z^0, u^0) &= \operatorname{Re} \{ r [f(z) - f(z^0)] - \langle g(z) - g(z^0), u^0 \rangle \} \geq \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re} \langle r \overline{\nabla_z f(z^0)} + r \nabla_{\bar{z}} f(z^0) - \nabla_z g(z^0) u^0 - \nabla_{\bar{z}} g(z^0) \bar{u}^0, z - z^0 \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in M$, i.e. the second inequality of (6) holds.

If, in addition, one of the conditions $1^0 - 7^0$ holds, then $r > 0$. This completes the proof.

Example. Consider the problem

$$(9) \quad \text{Minimize } (z + \bar{z} - 2)^3 (z + \bar{z} - 6)$$

subject to

$$(10) \quad -z\bar{z} + 4z + 4\bar{z} - 15 \in \{u \in C : -\pi/4 \leq \arg u \leq \pi/4\}.$$

Let $M = C$, $S = \{u \in C : -\pi/4 \leq \arg u \leq \pi/4\}$ and let $f(z) = (z + \bar{z} - 2)^3 (z + \bar{z} - 6)$ and $g(z) = -z\bar{z} + 4z + 4\bar{z} - 15$ for all $z \in M$. Then problem (9) - (10) is of the form (P). Let $z^0 = 3$. Evidently, the functions f and g are differentiable at z^0 , the function f has convex real part at z^0 with respect to R_+ , the function g is concave at z^0 with respect to S and S is a polyhedral cone in C with nonempty interior. It can be easily verified that z^0 is a local optimal solution of problem (9) - (10). Hence, the hypotheses of theorem 3 are satisfied. Then there exist $r \in R$ and $u^0 \in C$ such that (4) - (6) hold. It can be easily shown that $r = 1$ and $u^0 = 64$.

We remark that for problem (9) - (10), theorem 2 from ref. [1] and theorems 1 and 2 from ref. [6] cannot be applied, because f fails to have convex real part on M with respect to R_+ (the definition is not fulfilled for $z = 1$, $v = 2$ and $t = 1/2$).

REFERENCES

- [1] Abrams, R. A., *Nonlinear Programming in Complex Space: Sufficient Conditions and Duality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **38** (1972), no. 3, 619-632.
- [2] Abrams, R. A. and Ben-Israel, A., *Nonlinear Programming in Complex Space: Necessary Conditions*, SIAM J. Control, **9** (1971), no. 4, 606-620.
- [3] Craven, B. D. and Mond, B., *Real and Complex Fritz John Theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **44** (1973), 773-778.
- [4] Duca, D. I., *Constraint Qualifications in Nonlinear Programming in Complex Space*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math., **23** (1978), no. 1, 61-65.
- [5] Duca, D. I., *Necessary Optimality Criteria in Nonlinear Programming in Complex Space with Differentiability*, L'Analyse numérique et la théorie de l'approximation, **9** (1980), no. 2, 163-178.
- [6] Duca, D. I., *Saddlepoint Optimality Criteria of Nonlinear Programming in Complex Space without differentiability*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math., **25** (1980), no. 4, 39-46.
- [7] Duca, D. I., *Mathematical Programming in Complex Space*, Doctoral thesis, University of Cluj-Napoca, Cluj, Napoca, 1981.

Received 1.II.1987

University of Cluj-Napoca
Faculty of Mathematics
Str. Kogălniceanu, No. 1
3400 Cluj-Napoca
Romania