

ITERATED BOOLEAN SUMS OF BERNSTEIN
 AND RELATED OPERATORS

IOAN RAŞA*

Abstract. Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the semigroup associated with the classical Bernstein operators $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$ on $C[0, 1]$. We obtain rates of convergence for iterated Boolean sums of the operators $T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$.

MSC 2000. MSC 2000. 41A36.

Keywords. Bernstein operators and the associated semigroup, Boolean sums.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the classical Bernstein operators B_n on $C[0, 1]$, defined by

$$B_n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad f \in C[0, 1], \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

The associated semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ can be described by

$$T(t)f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n^{[nt]} f \text{ uniformly on } [0, 1],$$

where $t \geq 0$ and $f \in C[0, 1]$; see [2, Sect. 6.3], [8].

If $P, Q : X \rightarrow X$ are linear operators on an arbitrary linear space X , their Boolean sum is defined to be

$$P \oplus Q := P + Q - PQ.$$

Considering the k -fold Boolean sum, define

$$B_{n,k} := B_n \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n = I - (I - B_n)^k,$$

where I is the identity operator on $C[0, 1]$.

The operators $B_{n,k}$ were introduced independently by Micchelli [6], Mastroianni and Occorsio [5], and Felbecker [3]. They were further investigated in several papers; for surveys, references and historical remarks see [4], [9, Chapter 26].

*Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Str. C. Daicoviciu 15, 400020 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: ioan.rasa@math.utcluj.ro.

In this paper we consider the k -fold Boolean sum of $T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$:

$$T_{n,k} := T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = I - \left(I - T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^k$$

and obtain rates of convergence when k is fixed and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 2.1. *For all $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $n \geq 1$,*

$$(1) \quad \|T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)f - f\| \leq \frac{5}{4}\omega(f, \sqrt{1 - e^{-1/n}}),$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the uniform norm and ω is the usual modulus of continuity.

Proof. Let $e_j(x) = x^j$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Then (see, e.g., [8]):

$$(2) \quad T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)e_0 = e_0,$$

$$(3) \quad T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)e_1 = e_1,$$

$$(4) \quad T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)e_2 = (1 - e^{-1/n})e_1 + e^{-1/n}e_2.$$

Consequently, from [2, Prop.5.1.5] we get

$$|T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)f(x) - f(x)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta^2} (T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)e_2(x) - e_2(x))\right) \omega(f, \delta)$$

for all $\delta > 0$.

Using (4) and choosing $\delta := \sqrt{1 - e^{-1/n}}$ we obtain for $x \in [0, 1]$

$$(5) \quad |T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)f(x) - f(x)| \leq (1 + x(1-x))\omega(f, \sqrt{1 - e^{-1/n}}).$$

Now, (1) is a consequence of (5). □

REMARK 2.1. For $f \in C^2[0, 1]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n \geq 1$ we have also (see [8]):

$$(6) \quad |T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)f(x) - f(x)| \leq (1 - e^{-1/n}) \frac{x(1-x)}{2} \|f''\|.$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $f \in C[0, 1]$, $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$. Then:*

$$(7) \quad \|T_{n,k}f - f\| \leq 5 \cdot 2^{k-3} \omega(f, \sqrt{1 - e^{-1/n}}).$$

Proof. Let us remark that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n,k} - I &= - \left(I - T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^k \\ &= \left(T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - I\right) \left(I - T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^{k-1} \\ &= \left(T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - I\right) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-1}{j} \left(T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left(T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^j = T\left(\frac{j}{n}\right),$$

we get

$$(8) \quad T_{n,k} - I = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-1}{j} \left(T\left(\frac{j+1}{n}\right) - T\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right).$$

This yields

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T_{n,k}f - f\| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} \left\| T\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \left(T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) f - f \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} \left\| T\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right\| \left\| T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) f - f \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $T\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)$ is a positive linear operator, and $T\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)e_0 = e_0$; it follows that $\|T\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\| = 1$.

Now from (9) and (1) we infer

$$\|T_{n,k}f - f\| \leq \frac{5}{4} \omega(f, \sqrt{1 - e^{-1/n}}) \cdot 2^{k-1}$$

and this entails (7). \square

REMARK 2.2. The estimates

$$(10) \quad \|B_{n,k}f - f\| \leq \frac{3}{2}(2^k - 1) \omega(f, n^{-1/2}),$$

$$(11) \quad \|B_{n,k}f - f\| \leq \left(2^k - 1 + \frac{n}{4} \left(2^k - \left(2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^k \right) \right) \omega(f, n^{-1/2})$$

were obtained by Micchelli in [6], respectively by Agrawal and Kasana in [1].

They were improved by Biancamaria Della Vecchia and the author (see [9, Chapter 26]):

$$(12) \quad \|B_{n,k}f - f\| \leq 5 \cdot 2^{k-3} \omega(f, n^{-1/2}).$$

In the sequel $[a, b, c; f]$ will denote the divided difference of the function f at the points $a < b < c$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $n, k \geq 1$, $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $x \in [0, 1]$ be given. Then there exist $0 \leq a < b < c \leq 1$ and $0 \leq p < q < r \leq 1$ such that:*

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{n,k}f(x) - f(x) &= (1 - e^{-1/n}) \frac{x(1-x)}{2} \times \\ &\times \left(((1 + e^{-1/n})^{k-1} + (1 - e^{-1/n})^{k-1})[a, b, c; f] - \right. \\ &\left. - ((1 + e^{-1/n})^{k-1} - (1 - e^{-1/n})^{k-1})[p, q, r; f] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (8) we get

$$(14) \quad T_{n,k}f(x) - f(x) = \mu(f) - \nu(f),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f) &:= \sum_i \binom{k-1}{2i} \left(T\left(\frac{2i+1}{n}\right) f(x) - T\left(\frac{2i}{n}\right) f(x) \right), \\ \nu(f) &:= \sum_i \binom{k-1}{2i-1} \left(T\left(\frac{2i}{n}\right) f(x) - T\left(\frac{2i-1}{n}\right) f(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using $T(t)e_2(x) = (1 - e^{-t})x + e^{-t}x^2$ (see[8]) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(e_2) &= (1 - e^{-1/n})x(1 - x) \sum_i \binom{k-1}{2i} e^{-2i/n}, \\ \nu(e_2) &= (1 - e^{-1/n})x(1 - x) \sum_i \binom{k-1}{2i-1} e^{-(2i-1)/n}, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(15) \quad \mu(e_2) = (1 - e^{-1/n}) \frac{x(1-x)}{2} \left((1 + e^{-1/n})^{k-1} + (1 - e^{-1/n})^{k-1} \right),$$

$$(16) \quad \nu(e_2) = (1 - e^{-1/n}) \frac{x(1-x)}{2} \left((1 + e^{-1/n})^{k-1} - (1 - e^{-1/n})^{k-1} \right).$$

Let $g \in C[0, 1]$ be convex and $0 \leq s \leq t$. Then $T(t-s)g \geq g$ (see [2, Cor. 6.3.8]) and consequently $T(t)g = T(s)T(t-s)g \geq T(s)g$. It follows that $\mu(g) \geq 0$ and $\nu(g) \geq 0$.

By a classical result of Tiberiu Popoviciu (see [7]) there exist $0 \leq a < b < c \leq 1$ and $0 \leq p < q < r \leq 1$ such that

$$(17) \quad \mu(f) = \mu(e_2)[a, b, c; f],$$

$$(18) \quad \nu(f) = \mu(e_2)[p, q, r; f].$$

Now, (13) is a consequence of (14)–(18). \square

COROLLARY 2.4. Let $n, k \geq 1$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $f \in C^2[0, 1]$. Then

$$(19) \quad |T_{n,k}f(x) - f(x)| \leq (1 - e^{-1/n}) \frac{x(1-x)}{2} (1 + e^{-1/n})^{k-1} \|f''\|.$$

Proof. By using the mean-value property of the divided differences we obtain

$$|[a, b, c; f]| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f''\|, \quad 0 \leq a < b < c \leq 1.$$

Now, (19) follows from (13). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] AGRAWAL, P.N. and KASANA, H.S., *On the iterative combinations of Bernstein polynomials*, Demonstratio Math., **17**, pp. 777–783, 1984.
- [2] ALTOMARE, F. and CAMPITI, M., *Korovkin-type Approximation Theory and its Applications*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin - New York, 1994.
- [3] FELBECKER, G., *Linearkombinationen von iterierten Bernsteinoperatoren*, Manuscripta Math., **29**, pp. 229–248, 1979.
- [4] GONSKA, H.H. and ZHOU, X.L., *Approximation theorems for the iterated Boolean sums of Bernstein operators*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., **53**, pp. 21–31, 1994.
- [5] MASTROIANNI, G. and OCCORSIO, M.R., *Una generalizzazione dell'operatore di Bernstein*, Rend. Accad. Sci. Mat. Napoli, **44**, pp. 151–169, 1977.
- [6] MICCHELLI, C.A., *The saturation class and iterates of the Bernstein polynomials*, J. Approximation Theory, **8**, pp. 1–18, 1973.
- [7] RASA, I., *Sur les fonctionnelles de la forme simple au sens de T. Popoviciu*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **9**, pp. 261–268, 1980. 
- [8] RASA, I., *Estimates for the semigroup associated with Bernstein operators*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **33**, 243–245, 2004. 
- [9] VLADISLAV, T. and RASA, I., *Analiză Numerică. Aproximare, problema lui Cauchy abstractă, proiectori Altomare*, Editura Tehnică, Bucureşti, 1999.

Received by the editors: March 1, 2006.