

ON COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY IN SOLVING EQUATIONS  
 BY STEFFENSEN-TYPE METHODS

ION PĂVĂLOIU  
 (Cluj-Napoca)

1. INTRODUCTION

This note is a continuation of the paper [4]. We shall establish here the optimal methods for the efficiency index of the class of Steffensen-type methods.

We adopt the efficiency index of an iterative process as being the number  $I(\omega, p)$  given in [1] by:

$$(1.1) \quad I(\omega, p) = \omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where  $\omega$  is the convergence order of the iterative method and  $p$  represents the number of function evaluations that must be performed at each step. As it results from [1] and [4], the efficiency index can be defined as in (1.1) if we admit that the number of function evaluations is constant beginning from a certain step.

Let  $I \subset R$  denote an interval of the real axis, and consider equation

$$(1.2) \quad f(x) = 0,$$

where  $f : I \rightarrow R$ . Suppose that equation (1.2) possesses a unique root  $\bar{x} \in I$ . Also suppose that  $f$  admits derivatives up to the order  $m + 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $(m + 1)$ -th derivative of  $f$  is bounded on  $I$ , and  $f'(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . If  $F = f(I)$ , then there exists the function  $f^{-1} : F \rightarrow I$  and  $\bar{x} = f^{-1}(0)$ .

It is obvious that for approximating the solution of (1.2) it is sufficient to approximate  $f^{-1}$  at  $y = 0$ .

From the derivability hypotheses concerning  $f$  it follows that  $f^{-1}$  also possesses derivatives up to the order  $m + 1$ , which are given by [2]:

$$(1.3) \quad [f^{-1}(y)]^{(k)} = \sum \frac{(2k-2-i_1)!(-1)^{k-1+i_1}}{i_2!i_3!\dots i_k![f'(x)]^{2k-1}} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{1!}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!}\right)^{i_k}$$

$k = \overline{1, m+1}$ , where the above sum extends over all the integer nonnegative solutions of the system:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} i_2 + 2i_3 + \dots + (k-1)i_k &= k-1, \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k &= k-1. \end{aligned}$$

We shall consider the following general iterative process for solving the equation (1.2):

$$(1.5) \quad x_{n+k+1} - g(x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+n}), \quad n \geq 0, k+1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $g : I^{n+1} \rightarrow I$  is a function whose restriction to the diagonal of  $I^{n+1}$  coincides with a function  $h : I \rightarrow I$ , whose fixed point is  $\bar{x}$ , i.e.  $g(x, x, \dots, x) = h(x)$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $h(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$ .

In order to establish the optimal efficiency index of the class of Steffensen methods we shall adopt, as in [4], the following assumptions:

We consider as a function evaluation:

- a) the evaluation of the function or of any of its derivatives at a certain point;
- b) the evaluation by (1.3) of any of the derivatives of  $f^{-1}$  at a certain point;
- c) the evaluation of  $g$  from (1.5) at a certain point.

## 2. GENERALIZED STEFFENSEN METHOD

Let

$$(2.1) \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$$

be  $n+1$  interpolation nodes from  $I$  and

$$(2.2) \quad y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}$$

the values of  $f$  at  $x_i, y_i = f(x_i), i = \overline{1, n+1}$ .

Consider  $n+1$  natural numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}$  such that  $a_i \geq 1, i = \overline{1, n+1}$ , and  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + a_{n+1} = m+1$ . Supposing that at each  $x_i, i = \overline{1, n+1}$ , we know that values of  $f$  and of its derivatives up to the order  $a_i - 1$ , i.e. we know  $f(x_i), f'(x_i), \dots, f^{(a_i-1)}(x_i)$ , by (1.3) we can get the values of  $f^{-1}$  and of its derivatives up to the order  $a_i - 1$ .

We can now construct the Hermite inverse interpolation polynomial corresponding to  $f^{-1}$ , nodes (2.2), i.e. the following polynomial exists and is unique:

$$(2.3) \quad H(y_1, a_1; y_2, a_2; \dots; y_{n+1}, a_{n+1}; f^{-1}|y) = \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{a_i-1} \sum_{k=0}^{a_i-j-1} [f^{-1}(y_i)]^{(j)} \frac{1}{k!j!} \left[ \frac{(y-y_i)^{a_i}}{\omega(y)} \right]_{y=y_i}^{(k)} \frac{\omega(y)}{(y-y_i)^{a_i-j-k}}$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad \omega(y) = (y - y_1)^{a_1} (y - y_2)^{a_2} \dots (y - y_{n+1})^{a_{n+1}}.$$

If  $x_{n+2}$  denotes the value of  $H$  at  $y = 0$  we have

$$(2.5) \quad |\bar{x} - x_{n+2}| \leq \frac{M_{m+1}}{(m+1)!} |f(x_1)|^{a_1} |f(x_2)|^{a_2} \dots |f(x_{n+1})|^{a_{n+1}},$$

where

$$M_{m+1} = \sup_{y \in F} |f^{-1}(y)^{(m+1)}|.$$

If  $x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+n} \in I$  are  $n+1$  approximations of  $\bar{x}$ , then a new approximation  $x_{k+n+1}$  can be obtained by (2.3):

$$(2.6) \quad x_{k+n+1} = H(y_k, a_1; y_{k+1}, a_2; \dots; y_{k+n}, a_n; f^{-1}|0), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with the error evaluation

$$(2.7) \quad |\bar{x} - x_{k+n+1}| \leq \frac{M_{m+1}}{(m+1)!} |f(x_k)|^{a_1} |f(x_{k+1})|^{a_2} \dots |f(x_{k+n})|^{a_{n+1}}.$$

Method (2.6) is called Hermite-like iterative method.

Consider a function  $\varphi : I \rightarrow I$  whose fixed point from  $I$  is  $\bar{x}$  i.e.  $\varphi(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$ , and suppose there exists a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad |f(\varphi(x))| \leq \alpha |f(x)|, \quad \text{for all } x \in I.$$

Let  $\varphi_1(x) = \varphi(x)$ ,  $\varphi_2(x) = \varphi(\varphi_1(x))$ ,  $\varphi_3(x) = \varphi(\varphi_2(x))$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\varphi_n(x) = \varphi(\varphi_{n-1}(x))$ , be the iterations up to the order  $n$  of the function  $\varphi$ .

To increase the convergence order of method (2.6) we can do as if follows.

Let  $x_k \in I$  be a certain approximation of the solution  $\bar{x}$  of equation (1.2) and  $u_k = x_k, u_{k+1} = \varphi_1(x_k), \dots, u_{n+k} = \varphi_n(x_k)$ . Consider the values  $\bar{y}_i = f(u_i)$   $i = \bar{k}, n + \bar{k}$  as interpolation nodes in (2.3). Then  $x_{k+1}$ , the next approximation of  $\bar{x}$ , is given by:

$$(2.9) \quad x_{k+1} = H(\bar{y}_k, a_1; \bar{y}_{k+1}, a_2, \dots, \bar{y}_{k+n}, a_{n+1}; f^{-1}|0).$$

Repeating this process, called Steffensen type iterative method, we obtain a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of approximations of  $\bar{x}$ .

Using (2.8) and (2.7) it can be easily seen that the convergence order of (2.9) is  $m + 1$ .

### 3. THE EFFICIENCY INDEX OF STEFFENSEN-TYPE METHODS

As it can be seen above, at each iteration step in (2.9) we have the following function evaluations:

- 1)  $n$  values of  $\varphi$  to obtain the interpolation nodes  $u_{k+i}, i = \overline{1, n}$ ;
- 2)  $n + 1$  values of  $f$  at the nodes  $u_{k+i}, i = \overline{0, n}$ ;
- 3) at each interpolation node  $u_{k+i}, i = \overline{0, n}$  we compute the values of successive derivatives of  $f$  up to the order  $a_{i+1} - 1$ , altogether  $m - n$  function evaluations;
- 4) by (1.3) we evaluate the successive derivatives of  $f^{-1}$  at  $\bar{y}_{k+1} = f(u_{k+i}), i = \overline{0, n}$  up to the order  $a_{i+1} - 1$ , altogether  $m - n$  function evaluations;
- 5) finally, consider (2.9) as a single function evaluation.

Summing up, we obtain altogether  $2(m + 1)$  function evaluations.

Using (1.1) we obtain the following expression for the efficiency index of the class of Steffensen-type methods:

$$(3.1) \quad I(m + 1, 2(m + 1)) = (m + 1)^{\frac{1}{2(m+1)}}$$

Elementary considerations on the behaviour of the function  $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2t}}$  lead us to the conclusion that the function  $I(m + 1, 2(m + 1))$  attains its maximum at  $m = 2$ .

Note that the efficiency index (3.1) does not depend on the number of interpolation nodes.

From  $m = 2$  and  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n+1} = m + 1$ ,  $a_i \geq 1, i = \overline{1, n + 1}$  it follows that  $n \leq 2$ .

We shall successively analyse all the cases that lead us to the optimal methods form (2.9).

**A.**  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 3$ , i.e.  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$ . Then (2.3) becomes the Lagrange's inverse interpolation polynomial, and (2.9) is written:

$$(3.2) \quad x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{[x_k, \varphi(x_k); f]} - \frac{[x_k, \varphi(x_k), \varphi(\varphi(x_k)); f] f(x_k) f(\varphi(x_k))}{[x_k, \varphi(x_k); f][x_k, \varphi(\varphi(x_k)); f][\varphi(x_k), \varphi(\varphi(x_k)); f]}$$

$x_0 \in I$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , where  $[u, v; f]$  respectively  $[u, v, w; f]$  denote the first, respectively the second order divided differences of  $f$ .

**B.**  $a_1 + a_2 = 3$ , i.e.  $a_1 = 2, a_2 = 1$  or  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = 2$ . When  $a_1 = 2, a_2 = 1$  we obtain the following method:

$$(3.3) \quad x_{k+1} = x_k = -\frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)} + \frac{(f'(x_k) - [x_k, \varphi(x_k); f]) f^2(x_k)}{[x_k, \varphi(x_k); f]^2 \cdot f'(x_k) (\varphi(x_k) - x_k)}$$

$x_0 \in I, k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and when  $a_1 = 1, a_2 = 2$  it follows:

$$(3.4) \quad x_{k+1} = \varphi(x_k) - \frac{f(\varphi(x_k))}{f'(\varphi(x_k))} + \frac{([x_k, \varphi(x_k); f] - f'(\varphi(x_k))) f^2(\varphi(x_k))}{[x_k, \varphi(x_k); f]^2 f'(\varphi(x_k)) (\varphi(x_k) - x_k)},$$

$x_0 \in I, k = 0, 1, \dots$

**C.**  $a_1 = 3$ . In this case we get from (2.9) the third order Chebyshev iterative method, studied in [4].

In conclusion, the following theorem holds:

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Under the assumptions a)–c) from 1., in the class of Steffensen-type iterative methods any of the methods (3.2), (3.3) or (3.4) is optimal, i.e. has the greatest efficiency index.*

**REMARK.** For the particular case when  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{n+1} = q$  the condition of optimality for the efficiency index gives us two possibilities, namely  $q = 3, n = 0$ , hence the case C. or  $q = 1, n = 2$ , hence the case A.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ostrowski, A.M., *Solution of Equations and Systems of Equations*. Academic Press. New York and London, 1960.
- [2] Turowicz, B.A., *Sur le dérivée d'ordre supérieur d'une fonction inverse*. Colloq. Math. (1959), pp. 83–87.
- [3] Păvăloiu, I., *Optimal problems concerning interpolation methods of solution of equations*, Publications de l'Institut Mathématique, Nouvelle série, **52** (66), (1972), pp. 113–126.
- [4] Păvăloiu, I., *On computational complexity in solving equations by interpolation methods*, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **24**, 1–2 (1995), pp. 201–213.

clickable →

clickable →

Received 20 X 1994

Academia Română  
Institutul de Calcul  
"Tiberiu Popoviciu"  
P.O. Box 68  
3400 Cluj-Napoca 1  
România